A complex Tibetan upper mantle: A fragmented Indian slab and no south-verging subduction of Eurasian lithosphere
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1. Introduction

Over the last two decades, there have been many geological and geophysical studies in the Tibetan plateau including a large number of temporary seismic experiments operated from the Himalayas to the Qaidam Basin and Qilian Shan (Fig. 1). Although receiver function analysis has suggested possible southward subduction of the Asian continental lithosphere beneath northern Tibet (Kind et al., 2002), this interpretation largely relies on visual correlation of scattered arrivals of unknown origin across multiple receivers. Other receiver-function profiles across Tibet fail to show the same structure (Yue et al., submitted for publication; Zhao et al., 2010). Traditional models for the uplift of Tibet typically involve a uniform northward underthrusting Indian continental lithosphere (UICL) in southern Tibet (Barazangi and Ni, 1982; McNamara et al., 1995), gravity anomalies (Jin et al., 1996), converted seismic phases in the lower crust and upper mantle (Kind et al., 2002; Schulte-Pelkum et al., 2005)(Fig. 1). Two S-to-P converted-phase images (Kumar et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2010) and body-wave tomographic models (Li et al., 2008) suggest significant east–west lateral variations in the geometry and thickness of the UICL. From the eastern Himalayan syntaxis to the western edge of

Due to the non-uniform seismic station coverage in Tibet, critically important questions remain about the existence of southward continental subduction of Eurasia beneath northern Tibet from north, and the nature of the underthrusting Indian lithosphere underneath southern Tibet from south. Using differential P- and S-wave travel-times measured from 301 stations of all the temporary experiments deployed throughout Tibet, we constructed a comprehensive tomographic model. The upper mantle of northern Tibet consists of a rather homogeneous low velocity zone with no evidence of southward Asian continental subduction. In contrast the upper mantle from the Himalayas to central Tibet exhibits laterally variable P- and S-wave velocities. Significant low velocity zones are observed that are elongated in a north–south direction and extending to at least 150 km depth, which we interpret as evidences for fragmentation of the underthrusting Indian continental lithosphere.
the Tarim Basin, the Indian lithosphere appears to be underthrusting Tibet at an increasingly shallower angle and reaching progressively further to the north (Li et al., 2008). Furthermore, two body-wave tomography results (Liang et al., 2011; Ren and Shen, 2008) show some low velocity anomalies beneath southern Tibet, which suggest significant lateral variations within the UICL.

2. Data

Geophysical experiments in Tibet mainly consist of one dimensional linear arrays, but few two dimensional arrays existed before the large-scale INDEPTH IV/ASCENT experiment. The linear arrays do not provide a complete picture of the three dimensional structure of the crust and mantle beneath the plateau. In this study we combine data from ten temporary seismic networks that have been deployed over the past twenty years in the Tibetan plateau including projects INDEPTH IV/ASCENT (Sandvol et al., 2008), RISE (Jin et al., 2009), PASSCAL91 (Owens et al., 1993), Namcha Barwa (Sol et al., 2007), Hi-CLIMB (Nabelek et al., 2009), INDEPTH II (Brown et al., 1996), III (Huang et al., 2000) and IV(UK) (Zhao et al., 2008), BHUTAN (Velasco et al., 2007), and HIMNT (de la Torre and Sheehan, 2005). The combined data set includes 301 stations: 31 from Hi-CLIMB, 28 from HIMNT, 1 from the Global Seismic Network (GSN), 5 from BHUTAN, 12 from INDEPTH II, 42 from INDEPTH III, 19 from INDEPTH IV(UK), 69 from Namcha Barwa, 13 from RISE, 10 from PASSCAL91, and 71 from ASCENT (Fig. 1). Because different frequencies sample different regions along the ray paths (Dahlen et al., 2000). Different frequency band waveform data were extracted in order to apply a finite-frequency travel-time tomography algorithm to image the 3-D P- and S-wave velocity structure beneath southern and eastern Tibet. We selected events with epicentral distances of 30–90° to minimize the interference of long-period waves from the mantle transition zone and core-mantle boundary (Fig. 1) and the events recorded by at least four stations. For example, for the experiments with fewer stations (PASSCAL91, INDEPTH II and BHUTAN), on average events were recorded by 4–9 stations for PASSCAL91, by 8 stations for INDEPTH II, and by 4–5 stations for BHUTAN, whereas for the contemporaneous and overlapping ASCENT and INDEPTH IV(UK) experiments, events were on average recorded at more than 60 stations. Then we hand-picked the arrival times of the P, PcP, S and ScS phases at high- and low-frequency bands (0.5–2 Hz and 0.1–0.5 Hz for P wave, and 0.1–0.5 Hz and 0.05–0.1 Hz for S wave), and obtained the differential travel-times using Multi-Channel Cross-Correlation (MCCC) (VanDecar...
more traditional ray-based approaches. The methodology is briefly described here.

### 3.1. Finite frequency theory

The seismic travel time from the Born–Fréchet travel time sensitivity kernels, which express the influence of velocity perturbations upon a travel time shift when finite frequency effect is included, is written as (Dahlen et al., 2000)

\[
\delta t = \iiint K(x) \delta c(x)/c(x) d^3x,
\]

where \( K \) is the 3-D Fréchet sensitivity kernel for a travel time shift \( \delta t \), measured by cross-correlation of an observed pulse with its spherical Earth assumption. It is expressed by the formulation (Dahlen et al., 2000):

\[
K = \frac{1}{2\pi c} \left( \frac{R}{cR'} \right) \frac{\int_0^\infty \omega^4 |S_{\text{syn}}(\omega)|^2 \sin(\omega \Delta T) d\omega}{\int_0^\infty \omega^2 |S_{\text{syn}}(\omega)|^2 d\omega},
\]

where \( \Delta T \) represents the difference in travel time between the path with a detour through a single point scatterer between the source and receiver relative to the corresponding direct path; \( R \) and \( R' \) are geometrical spreading factors for the unperturbed ray, the forward source-to-scatterer ray and the backward receiver-to-scatterer ray, respectively. The presence of the power spectrum of the synthetic pulse \( |S_{\text{syn}}|^2 \) shows effectively the frequency dependency of the cross-correlated differential travel times.

Here, for our regional travel-time tomography the relative arrival times of P or S phases at a number of stations are measured by MCCC to constrain spatial variations of underlying mantle velocity perturbations. The finite volume sensitivity of a relative delay between two nearby stations 1 and 2, \( \delta t_1 - \delta t_2 \), is simply the difference of the individual Fréchet kernels for the travel time shifts, \( \delta t_1 \) and \( \delta t_2 \), when we take one station as reference (Hung et al., 2004)

\[
K_{\delta t_1 - \delta t_2} = K_{\delta t_1} - K_{\delta t_2}.
\]

Because there is the same structure between the equations for the banana-doughnut travel time residual and ray-path travel time residual, our measured data \( d_i \) of P and S differential travel times can be inverted to produce tomographic models of velocity perturbations using the same linear equation by using Born–Fréchet travel time sensitivity kernels instead of ray-path segments to construct the coefficient matrix (Chiao and Kuo, 2001; Hung et al., 2004):

\[
d_i = \int_D g_i(x)m(x)d^3x,
\]

where \( d_i, i = 1 \ldots N, \) represents the \( i \)th differential travel time data; \( x \) is the position vector in 3-D model space; \( D \); and \( g_i \) represents the 3-D Fréchet sensitivity kernel corresponding to the data \( d_i \) with the model function \( m(x) \). We have tested the effects of applying the finite-frequency approach by also using a classical ray-tracing approach. We found that our finite-frequency and ray theory images were essentially equivalent for the large features interpreted in this study (see Supplementary material Fig. S10 in the Appendix). Because teleseismic differential travel-times are being measured, only lateral velocity contrasts can be resolved.

### 3.2. Model parameterization and inversion

The crustal and mantle volume beneath the study area was parameterized with a regular 65 x 65 x 33 grids centered at (94.5° E, 33° N), and had a dimension of 30° in longitude, 30° in latitude, and 1200 km in depth. The model was made big enough to completely include the differential travel time sensitivity
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**Fig. 2.** Sector diagrams of differential travel times, which is averaged in 30° azimuth space at each station. (a) P-wave sector averaged differential travel times. (b) S-wave averaged differential travel times. The sector length shows the normalized measurements number in the 30° azimuth range. The averaged travel time residuals show the travel time differences among different ray paths, which sample the region from the sources to the receivers. Here the different azimuth reflects the different regions sampled by the ray-paths. The amplitude of measurements is shown by the different colors. Selected stations are shown here to avoid overlapping among stations. See Supplementary Fig. S1 for a comprehensive map of all stations. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
kernels in our model space. It resulted in a cell spacing of ~0.47° in longitude and latitude and 37 km in depth. Every cell has uniform velocity during the inversion. With this parameterization, the travel time equations can be written as:

\[ d_i = G_{ii}m_i, \]

where \( d_i \) is the \( i \)th differential travel time data, and \( G_{ii} \) is the differential value of the integrated volumetric kernels of the \( i \)th event contributing to the \( i \)th node and \( m_i \) the model parameter at the \( i \)th node. The inversion problem was resolved by the standard damped least-squares method (Paige and Saunders, 1982):

\[ \hat{m} = (C^TG_i + \theta^2 I)^{-1}C^Td, \]

where \( I \) is the identity matrix. The damping parameter \( \theta \) is determined empirically through examining the variance reduction versus the model norm represented by a trade-off curve. We chose the damping parameter that yields an optimum variance reduction and a relatively small model norm (see Supplementary Fig. S5 in the Appendix). The model discussed in this paper is obtained using a damping parameter that yields a variance reduction of ~55% for P-wave and ~53% for S-wave. The lower variance reduction of the P-velocity model reflects influence from the data redundancy for the mixed-determined linear system. Here we apply an equal-weight scheme for the events within a 5° azimuth and 10° epicentral distance sector, recorded by the same station. A weight was assigned to the travel times based on how many events from the same azimuth and epicentral distance range were recorded by the stations, and the weight was equal to the reciprocal value of the number of events in that azimuth and epicentral distance bin. This weight scheme was applied to partly compensate for uneven event distribution, which can otherwise produce prominent streaks along the directions taken by more rays (Humphreys and Clayton, 1988), or travel-time sensitivity kernels here. The weighted inversions also improve the variance reduction by decreasing the weight of data with larger variance (see Supplementary Fig. S5 in the Appendix).

3.3. Crustal and elevation correction

The travel time anomalies can be caused by crustal thickness variations, station elevations and lateral velocity heterogeneities. Since the ray paths of teleseismic body waves are nearly vertical near the surface, the depth of ray-path crossing is usually deep and shallow velocity structures are thus poorly constrained by relative travel time data in the inversion. Therefore, the crustal correction is also an important component in order to reduce the tradeoff between crustal and mantle velocity heterogeneities in teleseismic travel-time tomography. To correct for the crustal effects, the frequency-dependent crustal correction for each event-station record was calculated from the synthetic crustal response of an incoming plane wave beneath the station (Yang and Shen, 2006). We have tested several different crustal models: CUB2.0 (Ritzwoller et al., 2002), CRUST2.0 (Bassin et al., 2000) and SEAPS (Sun et al., 2004, 2008). In general we did not find any large differences in the large-scale features (> 200-km), except when using extreme, probably unreasonable crustal thickness values, such as very abrupt (15 km or larger) changes in Moho depth. We used the crustal structure from SEAPS (Sun et al., 2004, 2008) for most of stations, except using CRUST2.0 (Bassin et al., 2000) for several stations in the Sub-Himalaya where SEAPS is not defined (see Supplementary material Figs. S2 and S3 in the Appendix). The differential travel times after crustal correction show no significant influence from crustal structure (see Supplementary material Fig. S4 in the Appendix). An additional free term for each station was incorporated into the inversion to absorb travel-time shifts caused by remaining shallow heterogeneity (see Supplementary material Fig. S13 in the Appendix).

3.4. Resolution tests

Three-dimensional resolution tests were performed to evaluate the data coverage and the ability of the inversion to recover the mantle structure (see Supplementary Figs. S6, S7, S8, S9, S10 and S11 in the Appendix). For this purpose, the synthetic travel times were computed by multiplying the G-matrix with different input velocity models: \( \Delta t_{\text{syn}} = G \cdot \Delta c_{\text{syn}} + t_{\text{noise}} \), where \( t_{\text{noise}} \) is random noise added to the synthetic travel times, which follows a Gaussian distribution with standard deviation of 0.1 s, which about 10% of the typical differential travel time span for an event. The inversion was then performed using the same damping parameter as that used in the inversion of real data. The checkerboard resolution tests were conducted using input anomalies with a diameter of 150 km. The magnitude of the velocity perturbation decreases from the center of the checkerboard cells to zero at the boundaries as a cosine function. The maximum velocity perturbation is ±2% for P wave and ±4% for S wave. The checkerboard resolution tests strongly suggest that the input anomalies are recovered reasonably well in the region with good ray path coverage. At the same time the anomaly intensity is strongly damped during the inversion to about 1/3 to 1/2 of the input anomaly intensity.

4. Results and discussions

By combining all the available data in this study, we are able to obtain the most comprehensive 3-D picture of the crustal and upper mantle beneath the Himalaya and Tibet encompassing the largest number of stations in Eastern Tibet to date (Figs. 3 and 4). These images provide new insights into the important unresolved questions concerning the tectonic evolution of the Tibetan plateau: whether there is south-verging continental subduction along the southern margin of the Qaidam basin (Tapponnier et al., 2001) and the geometry and location of the UICL.

4.1. South verging Asian lithospheric subduction

We observe a sharp velocity contrast boundary in the upper 200-km between the Qaidam basin and the Kunlun mountain in northern Tibet (Figs. 3 and 4). This boundary is close to the Kunlun fault (KLF) between 93° and 98°E, and essentially vertical. The upper mantle of the northern Qiangtang terrane and Songpan-Ganzi terrane shows a homogeneously slow uppermost mantle (relative to southern Tibet) (Figs. 4 and 5), which extends down to at least 250 km with no evidence of any high velocity body beneath northern Tibet. The LVZ between 91° and 93E extends south to about 32.5°N (close to BNS) but from 95° to 99°E only to about the Jinsha suture (JS). The P-wave LVZ appears to extend deeper than 300 km. The amplitude of this anomaly is consistent with a temperature anomaly of about +300 °C (Wittlinger et al., 1996), however, it is important to note that the magnitude of this anomaly is highly dependent upon the choice of damping parameter in our inversion. P-wave travel-time tomography images along the Golmud–Lhasa highway in northern Tibet by Wittlinger et al. (Wittlinger et al., 1996) shows a bulky low velocity zone (LVZ) starting at about 150 km beneath the northernmost Tibetan plateau. The cross-sections along the similar position from our result show the similar result, although entirely independent data sets are used (Fig. 5, right).

The LVZ has a slower velocity with respect to the high velocity upper mantle beneath the Qaidam basin, so this anomaly cannot
be a lateral continuation of the Asian lithosphere, unless the lithosphere subducting from the north has been heated up considerably. Within the resolution of our tomography, this observation suggests that there is little subduction or under-thrusting of the Qaidam basin lithosphere beneath northeastern Tibet. The resolution test with two input synthetic high velocities beneath Songpan-Ganzi terrane and southern Tibet shows that there is reasonably good resolution for our tomographic model for the presumed subducted Eurasian and Indian lithosphere (Fig. 6). Recent images using surface-wave tomography (Ceylan et al., 2010) show a similar result. The southward dipping conversion beneath the KLF and northern Tibet along 93°E longitude, interpreted as subducted Asian mantle lithosphere (Kind et al., 2002), may instead be either P-to-S multiples or fossil lithospheric mantle boundary (Yue et al., submitted for publication). It is important to note that P-to-S receiver functions are usually noisy and ambiguous in this depth range, and that these converters are not consistently observed between different data sets (i.e. the P-wave and S-wave receiver functions show very different images (Kind et al., 2002; Kumar et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2010)).

The previous geology and geophysics work in this area (Wang et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2008; Yin et al., 2007) using surface mapping and dense seismic reflection profiles across Qaidam basin found that all the observed thrusts are north-dipping rather than south-dipping thrusts in the upper crust. In order to reconcile the seismic imaging of Kind et al. (2002), geologists
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**Fig. 3.** Velocity structures beneath southern and eastern Tibet obtained from our finite-frequency teleseismic travel-time tomography. Horizontal slices of the P- and S-wave velocities are shown at depths of 75–112 km, 150–187 km, and 300–337 km. The Vp and Vs images are clipped based on the data coverage (the kernel intensity for P and S travel-time sensitivity kernels). The UICL and upper-mantle LVZ of northern Tibet are encircled by black dashed lines. The alternating low and high velocity anomalies of southern Tibet, interpreted as the UICL fragments, are encircled by black dotted lines. The geological structures of Fig. 1 are shown as gray lines.
tried to use ‘flake’ tectonics to reconcile the differences (Wang et al., 2011; Yin et al., 2008). In light of recent receive-function imaging of the Moho geometry across the eastern Kunlun, the Moho below the Kunlun is offset by north-dipping lithospheric-scale thrusts (Shi et al., 2009). The deep seismic reflection results also suggest that the Songpan-Ganzi lower crust was under-thrusting or flowing beneath the Qaidam basin (Karplus et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2011). These studies are more consistent with our tomographic results in that there is no significant southward subduction of Asian lithosphere in the upper mantle. The integration of the existing studies mentioned above and our results seem to provide a coherent and persuasive argument against the existence of southward subduction of the Asian lithosphere.

There are several possible alternative origins of this LVZ. Mid-Miocene to Quaternary volcanics scattered across the Songpan-Ganzi terrane that die out east of 92°E include volumetrically minor leucogranites (crustal melts) but are dominantly shoshonitic melts from lithospheric mantle sources (Chung et al., 2005). Both the LVZ and shoshonitic volcanics could be related to viscous strain heating in the uppermost mantle (Nabelek et al., 2010), and a back-arc convective flow induced by the subducted continental Indian mantle lithosphere (Tilmann et al., 2003) or mantle upwelling related to lithospheric delamination (Molnar et al., 1993). Given the observations of a 130–150 km thick lithosphere in northern Tibet (Kumar et al., 2006; Yue et al., submitted for publication; Zhao et al., 2011), it seems unlikely we are seeing the evidence of either convective removal or a lithospheric delamination. The LVZ is centered on a region with the largest shear-wave splitting delay times in the entire plateau. Shear-wave splitting studies have shown a very strong east-west anisotropic fabric (Huang et al., 2000; Leon Soto et al., 2010;
McNamara et al., 1994) that correlates with GPS and Quaternary fault slip data (Flesch et al., 2005). These high shear-strain rates could lead to viscous strain heating (Nabelek et al., 2010) without actually removing the lithosphere or generating large amounts of partial melt.

4.2. Integrity of UICL

Numerous seismic profiles across the Tibetan plateau have suggested that lithospheric mantle beneath southern Tibet is uniformly cold and fast. But the published linear profiles cannot constrain 3-D upper-mantle structure. As shown in Figs. 3 and 4, the upper mantle beneath southern Tibet generally consists of higher P- and S-wave velocities extending to at least 250-km depth. The seismically faster and presumably colder/stronger mantle beneath southern and central Tibet is most likely the UICL, which extends to the BNS west of 92°E, but further north than previously imaged (Li et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2010). Differences in the northward extent of UICL could simply correspond to an irregular boundary along which a portion of the UICL may have broken off; this most likely would have occurred during the Miocene or earlier (DeCelles et al., 2002). We note that the

Fig. 5. Comparison between the previous tomographic images and our results along the two profiles AA' (Tilmann et al., 2003) and BB' (Wittlinger et al., 1996). The deeper structure (depth > 200 km) of our Vp model and model of Tilmann et al. (2003) agrees fairly well but the shallower structure appears quite different. The model of Tilmann et al. (2003) is a 2D model. Because most earthquakes occur to the east and southeast, the structure is preferentially sampled to the east of the INDEPTH III stations (approximately line AA'). Different treatments of the crust in each inversion scheme may also have affected the shallow mantle structure.
tomographic cross-section from Li et al. (2008) is quite similar to our image along the N–S profile of 90°E, where similar data are used in the two studies. It is likely that the difference in mantle velocity structure of central-northeastern Tibet between our results and Li et al. (2008) is attributed to our addition of many new data from the ASCENT seismic experiment. The UICL penetrates about 100 km further north than suggested from prior seismic observations in eastern central Tibet (along the Golmud–Lhasa highway) (Chen and Ozalaybey, 1998; Kumar et al., 2006; Li et al., 2008; Tilmann et al., 2003; Zhao et al., 2010), consistent with recent surface-wave tomographic results (Ceylan et al., 2010). The P-wave tomographic image of the upper mantle beneath central Tibet from INDEPTH III data has revealed a sub-vertical high velocity zone from about 100 km to 400 km depth, located approximately south of the BNS, which is interpreted as the downwelling Indian mantle lithosphere (Tilmann et al., 2003); our 3-D images show a high velocity body at a similar location (Fig. 5, left).

Our results show significant low-velocity anomalies in the upper mantle beneath southern Tibet (Figs. 3 and 4), which confirm the geometry and depth extent of the low P- and S-wave velocities found by recent regional finite-frequency tomography studies (Liang et al., 2011; Ren and Shen, 2008). The UICL is clearly not uniform and has significant orogen-parallel variations in both P- and S-wave upper-mantle velocities, because the resolution test shows our data set is able to image a uniform subducted high velocity subducted Indian lithosphere from southern Tibet (Fig. 6). The UICL is more complex than suggested by interpretations of the linear seismic profiles in southern Tibet. Furthermore, because we have been able to construct an image of the eastern half of the Tibetan plateau, we can now be certain that these velocity differences are not small inhomogeneities within the UICL but instead are likely to be as large as the well-known velocity contrast between northern and southern Tibet. These alternating high- and low-velocities are tested using a large number of synthetic tests, different algorithms and crustal corrections to ensure they are reliable (Fig. 7). These tests show the anomalies are not caused by smearing of crustal low-velocities during the inversions. The shallow low-velocity anomalies (75–112 km depth) are spatially correlated with the Coma, Yadong-Gulu, and Tangra Yum Co rifts from east to west, and they appear to extend to at least 150 km depth. However, the relationship between the shallow rift basins and the deep low velocity anomaly is by no means obvious. Pn tomographic models also show a similar pattern of alternating high and low velocities for the uppermost mantle beneath southern Tibet (Liang and Song, 2006; Wang et al., 2010). It is possible that these low-velocity anomalies beneath southern Tibet are due to compositional variation within the UICL formed perhaps during a Permo-Triassic rifting event along the northern Indian passive margin. Alternatively, these inter-UICL heterogeneities might be Archean cratonic nuclei and/or Proterozoic mobile belts formed during the assembly of the India plate. However, given the strength of these velocity anomalies and likelihood that the UICL has undergone
substantial deformation during underthrusting, we consider that these low velocities are most likely the consequence of recent fragmentation of the Indian lithosphere (Liang et al., 2011) (Fig. 8). It is less likely that this fragmentation is associated with the surface rifting (Ren and Shen, 2008; Yin, 2000), since many of the sub-Moho earthquakes (~80–95 km) in southernmost Tibet have a large strike-slip rather than normal component (de la Torre et al., 2007). The September 18th, 2011 Mw 6.8 Sikkim event

Fig. 7. The results of one of our resolution tests for both compressional- and shear-wave models along YGR show the robustness of the low-velocity anomalies. There is little depth smearing for shallow structures located in the upper 110 km.
located south of YGR also shows a strike-slip focal mechanism with 47 km focal depth based on the result from the Global CMT catalog (Fig. 1). The inference that Tibet’s shallow rifts extend through the whole lithosphere was proposed as a mechanism for the initiation of the rifts in southern Tibet (Yin, 2000). This model, however, relied on an unrealistic uniform E–W extension model with unreasonable continuous stress boundaries in a multi-layered mechanical rifting model. However it cannot be ruled out that the surface rifts are the potential consequence of the local upwelling of asthenosphere from the fragmentation. We suggest that the present fragmentation is resulting from the curvature of the Himalayan subduction front (McCaffrey and Nabelek, 1998; Seaber and Armbruster, 1984) and the rolling back of the Burma arc (Rao and Kalpna, 2005).

5. Conclusions

From our tomographic work we have imaged a rather homogeneous low-velocity upper mantle beneath the northern Qiangtang and Songpan-Ganzi terranes in northern Tibet, indicative of a warmer uppermost mantle that is inconsistent with the presence of subducted Eurasian continental lithosphere beneath northern Tibet. Higher temperatures and thus lower seismic velocities beneath northern Tibet could have been caused by viscous shear-strain heating of the lithospheric mantle that is being shortened between the Indian and Eurasian cratons. We have also imaged a seismically faster, presumably colder continental lithospheric mantle beneath southern and central Tibet; we interpret this as the UICL which extends northward to the BNS in central Tibet and to JS in eastern Tibet. In southern Tibet, we observe alternating low and high velocity anomalies that have a dominant NNE trend, approximately parallel to the direction of plate convergence. The low velocity anomalies extend to at least 150 km depth. These anomalies indicate significant lateral physical or compositional difference within the UICL, likely due to fragmentation during the underthrusting of the Indian continental lithosphere (Fig. 8).
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